DEPARTMENT OF							Validation:			
TRANSPORTATION U.S. COAST GUARD		OFFICER EV								
CG-5310 (Rev. 02-99)				·						
1. ADMINISTRATIVE DAT a. NAME (Last)	Ά	(Initials)	b. S	SN c. RESERVE STATU	אַר י ב	III S CONDITCTED	d. GRADE	,	Y/MM/I	
a. IVAIVIE (Last)		(minda)	D. U	aDT AD	г		DRILLS	e. DAI	: Ur rvr ,	AUVIN.
f. UNIT			L	g. ATU - OPFAC	344	h. DAYS NOT		i DATE	REPOR	/ PTED
i. Viti						LV	OTHER		1	1
j. PERIOD OF REPORT (YYYY/MM/E)D)	k. OCCASION FOR REPORT (Mark o	only or	ne)		I. EXCEPTION		m. DAT	E SUBN	/ NTTED
/ / то	1	Annual/ Detachment Semiannual Detachment Reporting	ent/Ch	ange of Detachment Decample	on	O Special	O Concurrent		_	
2. DESCRIPTION OF DUT	IES:	Observation supplies	<u> </u>	J				J	-	
ATTACHMENTS:										
		: Measures an officer's ability to m			1 5	Exceptional prepar	ation. Always looked	hevond	7	N/O
a. PLANNING AND. PREPAREDNESS:		Got caught by the unexpected; appeared to be controlled by events. Set vague or unrealistic goals. Used unreasonable criteria to set priorities and deadlines. Rarely had plan of		Consistently prepared. Set high but realistic goals. Used sound criteria to set priorities and deadlines. Used quality tools and processes to		immediate events	ing demands. De ing demands. De ntingency plans. As oblems, including un	Skillfully veloped		
Ability to anticipate, determine goals,		priorities and deadlines. Rarely had plan of action. Failed to focus on relevant information.		develop action plans. Identified key information. Kept supervisors and stake-		strategies with co	ntingency plans. As	sessed derlying		
identify relevant information, set priorities and deadlines, and develop	lol	0	0	holders informed.	lo	issues and impact.	0	,	0	0
b. USING RESOURCES:		Concentrated on unproductive activities or often overlooked critical demands. Failed to	F	_	+-	Unusually skilled a	at bringing scarce re	sources		
Ability to manage time materials		often overlooked critical demands. Failed to use people productively. Did not follow up. Mismanaged information, money or time.		Effectively managed a variety of activities with available resources. Delegated, empowered, and followed up. Skilled time manager, budgeted own and subordinates' time productively. Ensured subordinates had adequate tools, materials, time and direction.		demands. Optim	most critical of collinated productivity	tnrougn		
information, money, and people (i.e.		Mismanaged information, money or time. Used ineffective tools or left subordinates without means to accomplish tasks.		productively. Ensured subordinates had adequate tools materials time and direction		effective delegat follow-up contro systematically red	ol. Found wav	s to		
external publics).		Employed wasteful methods.		Cost conscious, sought ways to cut waste.		and improve efficie	uce cost, eliminate ncy.	Wasto,	$ _{\wedge} $	\sim
c. RESULTS/EFFECTIVENESS:	0	Routine tasks accomplished with difficulty.	۲	Got the job done in all routine situations and in	0	Maintained optima	al balance among ness of work. Quality	quality,	0	<u>O</u>
Quality, quantity, timeliness and		Routine tasks accomplished with difficulty. Results often late or of poor quality. Work had a negative impact on department or unit. Maintained the status quo despite		many unusual ones. Work was timely and of high quality; required same of subordinates.		quantity, and timeli and subordinates	ness of work. Quality work surpassed expe	of own		
impact of work.		opportunities to improve.		high quality; required same of subordinates. Results had a positive impact on department or unit. Continuously improved services and organizational effectiveness.		or Coast Guard.	work surpassed experificant positive impactions of the control of	effective		
	0	0	0	0	0	by occurring or contained	O		0	0
d. ADAPTABILITY:		Unable to gauge effectiveness of work or make adjustments when needed. Overlooked		Receptive to change, new information, and technology. Effectively used benchmarks to improve performance and service. Monitored progress and changed course as required. Effectively dealt with pressure and ambiguity.		Rapidly assessed conditions, new in	and adjusted to character and technology and respond indicators. Char	anging nology.		
Ability to modify work methods and priorities in response to new		or screened out new information. Overreacted or responded slowly to change in		improve performance and service. Monitored progress and changed course as required.		Very skilled at measurement	using and respond indicators. Cham ovements. Effective	ng to pioned		
information, changing conditions, or unexpected obstacles.		direction or environment. Ineffective in ambiguous, complex, or pressured situations.		Effectively dealt with pressure and ambiguity. Facilitated smooth transitions.		organizational impr	ovements. Effectivel omplex situations.	y dealt Turned		
anoxpooted assituates.		\circ	0		0	for change.	guity into constructive	Torces	0	0
e. PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE:		Questionable competence and credibility.		Competent and credible authority on speciality			advice and actions			
		Operational or speciality expertise inadequate of facking in key areas. Made little effort to grow professionally. Used knowledge as power against others or bluffed rather than		Competent and credible authority on speciality or operational issues. Acquired and applied excellent operational or specialty expertise for assigned duties. Showed professional growth through education, training and professional reading. Shared knowledge and information with others clearly and simply. Understood even correct storage for the control of the control		great breadth a Remarkable grasp	and depth of kno of complex issues, or apidly developed prof expectations. Vi- dge, directly resul ace productivity. II role, customer nee	wiedge. Incepts, essional		
Ability to acquire, apply and share technical and administrative knowledge and skills associated with		power against others or bluffed rather than		through education, training and professional		growth beyond	expectations. Vi	gorously ting in		
description of duties. (Includes operational aspects such as marine safety, seamanship, airmanship, SAR, etc., as appropriate.)		acknowledging ignorance. Effectiveness reduced due to limited knowledge of own organizational role and customer needs.		with others clearly and simply. Understood own organizational role and customer needs.		increased workpla knowledge of own	ace productivity. In role, customer nee	nsightful ds, and		
SAR, etc., as appropriate.)	0	0	0	, 0	0	value of work.	0		0	0
COMMENTS:										
		,								

Page 2 of CG-5310 (Rev. 02-99)		50 - J - 1:314 L		/				
	LLS:	Measures an officer's ability to cor	<u>nmu</u> 3	inicate in a positive, clear, and convir	Cing 5		7	N/O
a. SPEAKING AND LISTENING: Ability to speak effectively and listen to understand.	'	Unable to effectively articulate ideas and facts; lacked preparation, confidence, or logic. Used inappropriate language or rambled. Nervous or distracting mannerisms detracted from message. Failed to listen carefully or was too argumentative.		Effectively expressed ideas and facts in individual and group situations; non-verbal actions consistent with spoken message. Communicated to people at all levels to ensure understanding. Listened carefully for intended message as well as spoken words.		Clearly articulated and promoted ideas before a wide range of audiences; accomplished speaker in both formal and extemporaneous situations. Adept at presenting complex or sensitive issues. Active listener: remarkable ability to listen with open mind and identify key issues.	,	
	0	argumentative.	0	message as well as spoken words.	0	open mind and identify key issues.	0	0
b. WRITING:		Written material frequently unclear, verbose, or poorly organized. Seldom proofread. Often		Written material clear, concise, and logically organized. Proofread conscientiously.		Clearly and persuasively expressed complex or controversial material, directly contributing to		
Ability to express facts and ideas clearly and convincingly.	0	Written material frequently unclear, verbose, or poorly organized. Seldom proofread. Often submitted correspondence which was grammatically incorrect, tailored to wrong audience, or delivered by an inappropriate medium.	0	Correspondence grammatically correct, tailored to audience, and delivered by an appropriate medium. Subordinates' material reflected same high standards.	0	Clearly and persuasively expressed complex or controversial material, directly contributing to stated objectives. Written or published material brought credit to the Coast Guard. Actively educated subordinates in effective writing.	0	0
COMMENTS:	·							
5. LEADERSHIP SKILLS:	Me	asures an officer's ability to support.	dev	elop, direct, and influence others in p	erfor	ming work.		
a LOOKING OUT FOR OTHERS: Ability to consider and respond to others personal needs, capabilities, and achievements; support for and application of work-life concepts and skills.	1	Seldom recognized or responded to needs of people; left outside resources untapped despite apparent need. Ignorance of individuals' capabilities increased chance of failure. Seldom recognized or rewarded deserving subordinates.	3	Cared for people. Recognized and responded to their needs; referred to outside resources as appropriate. Considered individuals' capabilities to maximize opportunities for success. Consistently recognized and rewarded deserving subordinates.	5	Always accessible. Enhanced overall quality of life. Actively contributed to achieving balance among unit requirements, professional and personal responsibilities. Strong advocate for subordinates: ensured appropriate and timely recognition, both formal and informal.	7	N/O
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. <u>DEVELOPING OTHERS</u> : Ability to use coaching, counseling, and fraining to provide opportunities for others' <i>professional</i> development.	0	Unreasonably restricted opportunities for professional growth; kept others in narrow roles and discouraged the level of risk-taking necessary for learning. Lack of timely feedback left subordinates guessing.	0	Supported and provided opportunities for professional growth. Encouraged others to expand their roles, handle important tasks and learn by doing. Allowed the appropriate level of risk-taking necessary for learning and mission accomplishment. Provided timely praise and constructive feedback.	0	Created challenging situations which optimized professional development and maximized opportunity for success. Guided, coached, and encouraged others to reach new levels of performance. Adeptly counseled others; identified professional potential, strengths and areas for improvement.	0	0
c. DIRECTING OTHERS:		Showed difficulty in directing or influencing		A leader who earned others' support and commitment. Set high work standards; clearly		An inspirational leader who motivated others to		
Ability to influence or direct others in accomplishing tasks or missions.	0	others. Low or unclear work standards reduced productivity. Failed to hold subordinates accountable for shoddy work or irresponsible actions. Unwilling to delegate authority to increase efficiency of task accomplishment.	0	raticulated job requirements, expectations and measurement criteria; held subordinates accountable. When appropriate, delegated authority to those directly responsible for the task.		achieve results not normally attainable. Won people over rather than imposing will. Clearly articulated vision; empowered subordinates to set goals and objectives to accomplish tasks. Modified leadership styles to best meet challenging situations.	0	0
d. TEAMWORK: Ability to manage, lead and participate in teams, encourage cooperation, and develop esprit de corps.	0	Used teams ineffectively or at wrong times. Conflicts mismanaged or often left unresolved, resulting in decreased team effectiveness. Excluded team members from vital information. Stiffled group discussions or did not contribute productively. Inhibited cross functional cooperation to the detriment of unit or service goals.	1	Skillfully used teams to increase unit effectiveness, quality, and service. Resolved or managed group conflict, enhanced cooperation, and involved team members in decision process. Valued team participant. Effectively negotiated work across functional boundaries to enhance support of broader mutual goals.	0	Insightful use of teams raised unit productivity beyond expectations. Inspired high level of esprit de corps, even in difficult situations. Major contributor to team effort. Established relationships and networks across a broad range of people and groups, raising accomplishments of mutual goals to a remarkable level.	0	0
e. WORKPLACE CLIMATE:		Intolerant of individual differences, exhibited discriminatory tendencies toward others.	Ť	Sensitive to individual differences. Encouraged	_	Excelled at creating an environment of fairness,		
Ability to value individual differences and promote an environment of involvement, innovation, open communication and respect.		Tolerated or contributed to an uncomfortable or degrading environment. Failed to take responsibility for own words and actions and their impact on others. Failed to support or enforce Coast Guard human resources policies.	0	open communication and respect. Promoted an environment which values fairness, dignity, creativity, and diverse perspectives. Took responsibility for own words and actions and their impact on others. Fully supported and enforced Coast Guard human resources policies.	0	Excelled at creating an environment of fairness, candor, and respect among individuals of diverse backgrounds and positions. Optimized use of different perspectives and opinions. Quickly took action against behavior inconsistent with Coast Guard human resources policies, or which detracted from mission accomplishment.	0	0
f. EVALUATIONS: The extent to which an officer, as Reported-on Officer and rater, conducted or required others to conduct accurate, timely evaluations for enlisted, civilian and officer		Reports were frequently late. Narratives inaccurate or of poor quality. Failed to uphold service performance standards by assigning accurate marks. Reports required revision or intervention by others. Failed to meet own OES responsibilities as Reported-on Officer.		Reports consistently submitted on time. Narratives were fair, concise, and contained specific observations of action and impact. Assigned marks against standards. Few reports, if any returned for revision. Met own OES responsibilities as Reported-on Officer.		No reports submitted late. Narratives were insightful, of the highest quality, and always supported assigned marks. Subordinates' material reflected same high standards. No reports returned for revision. Returned reports to		
conduct accurate, timely evaluations for enlisted, civilian and officer personnel.	0	OES responsibilities as Reported-on Officer.	0	OES responsibilities as Reported-on Officer.	0	subordinates when appropriate.	0	0
COMMENTS:								
								:
				•				
6. SUPERVISOR AUTHEN	TIC	ATION				(MM/	MM/DE))
a. NAME AND SIGNATURE		b. GRADE c. SSN		d. TITLE OF POSITION		e. DATE		********
							,	

Page 3A of CG-5310 (Rev. 02-99)												
7. REPORTING OFFICER COMMENTS: Provide additional information to supplement or amplify the Supervisor's evaluation.												
,												
8. PERSONAL AND PROI	EEGG	MAL OLIALITI	EQ: Meac	ures se	lecte	ad qualities wh	ich illustrate	the individ	ual's	character		
a. INITIATIVE:	1	Postponed needed a	ction. Implem	ented or	3	Championed imp	overnent through	new ideas.	5	Aggressively sought out additional responsibili	y. 7	N/O
Ability to originate and act on new		Postponed needed a supported improvemen do so. Showed lit development. Feasi methods, services,	ts only when d tle interest i	n career		problems and to	ctices; seir-starte ok prompt action	to avoid or		practices work when others might have given by	na p.	
Ability to originate and act on new ideas, pursue opportunities to learn and develop, and seek responsibility without guidance and supervision.		methods, services, unexplored.	or product	s went		Championed imp methods, and pra problems and to resolve them. So eer development. enhanced missior ideas and method	Pursued production	vity gains and		Aggressively sought out additional responsibility A self-learner. Made worthwhile ideas a practices work when others might have given us strength innovative. Optimized use of not ideas and methods to improve work processed decision-making, and service delivery.	s,	
without guidance and supervision.	0	· (<u> </u>		0	ideas and method	S. O	applying now	0	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	0	0
b. JUDGMENT:		Decisions often display to make necessary	ed poor analys lecisions, or ju	is. Failed umped to		Demonstrated an sense in making and experience, alternatives. We considerations. Nowith the best available.	alytical thought decisions. Useo	and common facts, data,		Combined keen analytical thought and insight make appropriate decisions. Focused on the k	to ey	
Ability to make sound decisions and provide valid recommendations by		to make necessary of conclusions without alternatives, and impaweigh risk, cost, and til	considering oct. Did not e	facts, effectively		and expenence, alternatives. We	and considered t sighed risk, cos lado sound doois	ne impact of st and time		make appropriate decisions. Focused on the k issues and the most relevant information, even complex situations. Did the right thing at the right time. Actions indicated awareness of impact a implications of decisions on others.	ht ht	
provide valid recommendations by using facts, experience, common sense, and analytical thought.		weight lisk, cost, and th	The consideration	#IS.		with the best avai	able information.	ions promptly		implications of decisions on others.	<u>"</u>	
c. RESPONSIBILITY:	-	Actions demonstrated	guestionable	ethics or		Held self and	subordinates pe	rsonally and		Integrity and ethics beyond reproach. Alwa	ys O	101
		Actions demonstrated lack of commitment. failed to hold subordina organization to absorb ther than confronting the not to speak up or get imal support for decision.	Tolerated indiff tes accountable	erence or e. Allowed		Held self and professionally ac necessary, even positions. Support decisions which re ideas. Commit achievement of or	countable. Spol when expressi	ke up when ng unpopular		Integrity and ethics beyond reproach. Alwa held self and subordinates to highest standards personal and professional accountability. Did tright thing even when it was difficult. Succeed in making even unpopular policies or decisio work. Actions demonstrated unwaven commitment to achievement of organization and	of he	
Ability to act ethically, courageously, and dependably and inspire the same in others; accountability for own and		ther than confronting the	personnel pro em as required involved. Prov	Tended		decisions which n	nay have been co	ounter to own		in making even unpopular policies or decisio	ns I	
subordinates' actions.		imal support for decision	ns counter to o	wn ideas.		achievement of or	ganizational goals	, 3000e331ui		commitment to achievement of organization goals.	all _	
d. PROFESSIONAL PRESENCE:	О	Unaware of gene	ral CG o	bjectives;	۲	Knowledgeable in	how ÇÇ objectiv	es serve the		Always soft anguest projected ideal CC imag	e. O	0
		Unaware of gene uncooperative or biase composure in difficult poor image of self a sloppy with commo Uniform appearance standard.	ed in interactions.	ns. Lost Conveyed		Knowledgeable in public; cooperating Composed in depositive image of military etiquette upholding military uniform appearance	e and fair in all fficult situations	interactions. Conveyed		Poised in response to others' provocative action Contributed leadership role in civilian/milita community. Exemplified finest traditions military customs and protocol. Meticulo uniform appearance and grooming; inspir similar standards in others.	s. ry	
Ability to bring credit to the Coast Guard through one's actions, competence, demeanor, and		sloppy with commo	nd CG. Ignor n military c and arromin	ourtesies.		military etiquette	precise in r	endering and		military customs and protocol. Meticulo uniform appearance and protocol.	US JS	
appearance.		standard.	and growning	y below		uniform appearant	e and grooming.	reat care in		similar standards in others.		0
e. HEALTH AND WELL-BEING:	О	Failed to meet minimum	um standards	of weight	\cup	Maintained weigh	t standards. C	Committed to		Remarkable vitality, enthusiasm, alertness a	7d	
Ability to invest in the Coast Guard's		control or sobriety, others' alcohol abus subordinates' health a or unable to recognic despite apparent need.	Tolerated or s. Seldom c	condoned onsidered		Maintained weigh health and well-b Enhanced pers activities support well-being. Reco	eing of self and onal performan	subordinates. ce through		Remarkable vitality, enthusiasm, alertness a energy. Consistently contributed at high leve Optimized personal performance throu involvement in activities which supported physic and emotional well-being. Monitored and help others deal with stress, enhance health a well-being.	s. In	
future by caring for the physical health and emotional well-being of self and		or unable to recognize	nd well-bering. se and manag	e stress		well-being. Reco	gnized and mai	naged stress		and emotional well-being. Monitored and help others deal with stress enhance health a	ad ad	
others.	0	(uespite apparent need.	O		0	oncouvery.	0		0	well-being.	0	0
COMMENTS:												
,												
·		•	O O2): Cor	npare th	nis o		ers of the sa	me grade v	vhon	n you have known in your career.		
UNSATISFACTORY		ALIFIED TICER		MANY	′ COI	ONE OF THE WPETENT PROF	ESSIONALS			AN EXCEPTIONAL A DISTII OFFICER OF	NGUISH FICER	ED)
				1	NHO	FORM THE MA. OF THIS GRAD						
	(<u> </u>	0			0	•	0			0	
10. POTENTIAL: Describe	abili	ty to assume grea	iter leaders	hip roles	s and	d responsibiliti	es (e.g. con	nmand, spe	ecial	assignment, promotion, and specia	l skills)).
11. REPORTING OFFICER	AUT	HENTICATION								ſY	YY/MM/I	DD)
a. NAME AND SIGNATURE			b. GRADE	c. SSN			d. TITLE OF P	OSITION		e. DA		
						-	<u> </u>				1	/
12. REVIEWER AUTHENT! b. NAME AND SIGNATURE	CAT	ON a.	c. GRADE	d. SSN	ED (Required when the I	Reporting Officer		Guard	Officer or Coast Guard SES.)	re	
D. INAMIE AND SIGNATURE C. STADE 0. SON							O. TILL OF PO	5011014		Įt. DA	,	, 1

INSTRUCTIONS

PURPOSE: The Officer Evaluation Report (OER) primarily provides information for officer corps promotion, selection, and assignment determinations. Secondary purposes include: (1) prescribing common standards of expected performance; (2) reinforcing Coast Guard values; and (3) acting as one means of performance feedback for the Reported-on Officer.

GUIDING INSTRUCTION: Chapter 10-A of the CG Personnel Manual, COMDTINST M1000.6 (series), contains all official guidance on OES requirements.

RESPONSIBILITIES: All Coast Guard officers and raters of CG officers should be aware of their OES responsibilities as outlined in the CG Personnel Manual.

SUBMISSION SCHEDULE:

Grade	Active Duty	IDPL
Captain	Apr	Apr
Commander	Mar	Mar
Lieutenant Commander	Apr	Apr
Lieutenant	May	May
Lieutenant (Junior Grade)	Jan and Jul	Jul
Ensign	Mar and Sep	Sep
Chief Warrant Officer (W4)	Apr	Apr
Chief Warrant Officer (W3)	Jul	Jul
Chief Warrant Officer (W2)	Jun	Jun

Notes:

- (1) An OER period may be extended for up to 92 days (semiannual) under certain conditions. Consult PERSMAN for further guidance.
- (2) OERs for IDPL grades of CAPT, LTJG, and ENS are annual. All other IDPL OERs are biennial.
- (3) Officers assigned to DUINS follow an annual/semiannual schedule according to school terms.

TIMELINE:

21 days before end of period:

Reported-on Officer submits to Supervisor a list of significant accomplishments during the period, supporting documents (as required), administrative data required for OER Section 1, and a completed OER page 4.

10 days after the period:

Supervisor sections of OER due to Reporting Officer.

30 days after the period:

Supervisor and Reporting Officer sections due to Reviewer.

Reviewer sends completed OER to OER Administrator.

45 days after the period:

OER due to CGPC for review and entry into official record.

RESTRICTIONS:

Raters shall not mention a Reported-on Officer's: (1) First name; (2) Non-selection for promotion, including allusions thereto; (3) Record appeals; (4) Psychological or medical conditions; (5) marital or family status (including pregnancy); or (6) Performance observed outside the reporting period. Raters also shall not: (1) Expressly evaluate or place emphasis on gender, religion, color, race, or ethnic background (applies to both member and third parties); (2) Refer to any third party by name; or (3) Include information which is subject to a security classification. See PERSMAN for additional restrictions that apply to disciplinary proceedings.

PREPARATION CHECKLIST (OPTIONAL):

Administrative Data and Description of Duties (Sections 1 and 2):

- All fields completed (enter dates in YYYY/MM/DD format; enter only one occasion for report, leave 1.c. blank if active duty).
- Primary duty underlined or capitalized (no other text enhancements, such as underlining, bolding, or all capital letters, are allowed throughout the OER).
- Attachments listed (only personal award citations, punitive letters, or letter reports for senior service school allowed).

Performance Evaluation (Sections 3-5 and 7-8

- Marks assigned according to standards which most closely describe Reported-on Officer's performance during the period.
- Specific examples cited for each mark which deviated from "4".

 When applicable, comments on seamanship or airmanship ability are distinct.

Comparison or Rating Scale and Potential (Sections 9 and 10):

- Section 9 mark assigned according to the instructive clause on the form.
- Comments describe Reported-on Officer's overall potential for greater responsibility (include, as appropriate, recommendations for promotion, special assignment, and command).

TIPS FOR EFFECTIVE COMMENTS:

1. Be specific.

Concisely describe the performance by relating the action observed and its impact; quantify the action whenever possible and explain why it was important; avoid empty superlatives. Do not repeat the dimensions.

2. Save space.

Use information bullets; reduce the use of pronouns; use member's name sparingly, if at all; use action verbs and semicolons; and avoid excess words. Acronyms and abbreviations are effective only if they are common to all Coast Guard communities or are initially defined in the comments.

3. Be clear.

Don't lose the meaning; watch for cryptic comments.

13. RETURN ADDRI	ESS. (Name and address to which a copy is sent after filing the original in the officer's record.)	14. OER ADMINISTRATOR REVIEW:				
Γ_		a. Initials:	b. Date:			
		U.S.C. 833 to determine promotion or job ass	sted under the authority of 14 e an officer's suitability for signment. Submitting this			
1			Failure to provide it could tion opportunities and job ciplinary action.			